

U.S Observer Weekly Briefing

By Kaswar Klasra

For the American policymaker, the past week (January 12–18, 2026) has been defined by a fundamental reordering of sovereignty under the weight of "deal-making" backed by force. Washington has pivoted from mere deterrence to active territorial and resource acquisition, effectively daring the world to resist. This sudden, aggressive expansion of what many are calling the "Donroe Doctrine" has left the traditional liberal order in tatters as the U.S. prioritizes raw power over long-standing diplomatic norms.

The Iran Gambit: Tariffs as Weaponry

The Trump administration has weaponized global trade by imposing a massive 25% tariff on any nation maintaining economic ties with Tehran. This "secondary sanction" is aimed squarely at major importers like Beijing and New Delhi, forcing a high-stakes choice between Iranian energy and the American market. While Indian officials have publicly downplayed the immediate impact due to limited exposure, the move has stunned global markets and threatened the fragile trade truce established in 2025.

Domestically, the administration faces a critical dilemma as the death toll from anti-regime protests in Iran has surged past 2,600. Tehran issued its starkest warning yet on January 14, stating that any U.S. strike would trigger immediate retaliation against American bases across the region. This threat landed with significant impact; the Pentagon quietly began extracting personnel from key facilities in Qatar and Bahrain—an unmistakable sign of concern regarding a potential region-wide conflagration. Amidst this volatility, Iran unexpectedly closed its commercial airspace on January 15, disrupting international flights and further raising fears of imminent military action.

Resource Dominance and the Venezuela Incursion

A central pillar of the current U.S. strategy is the seizure of Venezuelan oil assets to redirect flows away from China and toward American firms. Following the dramatic capture of Nicolás Maduro, the former leader was transported to New York to face trial on narco-terrorism charges. President Trump has already begun consolidating influence in the region, holding closed-door meetings at Mar-a-Lago with opposition figure Maria Corina Machado to discuss comprehensive oil sector reforms.

While the U.S. Senate backed the administration by rejecting a war powers measure—with Vice President Vance casting the tie-breaking vote—the intervention has sparked a deepening hemispheric rift. Mexico has categorically rejected the "interventionist" move as a violation of sovereignty, while Canada has maintained a cautious silence as it navigates its own delicate diplomatic path ahead of critical trade reviews.

The Arctic Ultimatum: Greenland and NATO's Identity Crisis

Perhaps the most startling development of the week has been the escalating crisis over Greenland's sovereignty. President Trump has framed the acquisition of the island as a

"national security imperative," asserting that Denmark is incapable of defending it against Russian and Chinese interests. By introducing legislation to annex the territory, Washington has effectively dared its NATO allies to resist.

This Arctic gambit has triggered a historic diplomatic rupture. European NATO allies, led by Britain, Germany, and Norway, responded by deploying a multinational reconnaissance mission to Nuuk—a move described by analysts as a "symbolic" but firm resistance to U.S. ambitions. While a U.S. congressional delegation arrived in Copenhagen to reaffirm support for Danish sovereignty, the administration's stance has led security experts at Chatham House to rank the standoff as a top global risk, warning it could lead to the permanent collapse of the NATO alliance.

Global Realignments and "Law of the Jungle"

As Washington pivots toward protectionism and unilateralism, other powers are moving pragmatically. Canadian Prime Minister Mark Carney, rebuffed by U.S. trade policies, secured a major strategic partnership with China in Beijing, targeting energy and finance for "historic gains". Simultaneously, Taiwan clinched a high-stakes \$250 billion trade deal with the U.S., trading semiconductor and AI investments for tariff relief—a move Beijing has resolutely opposed as a violation of the "One-China" principle.

Collectively, these events signal a definitive shift toward a multipolar reality where traditional alliances are secondary to raw territorial and energy interests. Beijing has leveraged this Western fragmentation by positioning itself as a stabilizing mediator, framing U.S. actions as a return to "the law of the jungle". For the American policymaker, the takeaway is clear: the era of grand design has been replaced by emergency triage and a playbook dictated by global instability.

Ends